IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

CHAUNCY WILLIAMS,	S	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
v.	8	1:23-CV-0164-RP
WILLIAMSON COUNTY, ADRIANNE PERNELL, in her individual capacity, et al.,	8	
	<u>S</u>	
Defendants.	9	

ORDER

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Susan Hightower concerning Plaintiff Chauncy Williams' Amended Complaint, (Dkt. 7). (R. & R., Dkt. 11). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Rule 1(d) of Appendix C of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Judge Hightower issued her report and recommendation on July 24, 2023. (*Id.*). Neither party filed an objection.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), a party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure de novo review by the district court. When no objections are timely filed, a district court can review the magistrate's report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note ("When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.").

Because no party has filed timely objections, the Court reviews the report and recommendation for clear error. Having done so and finding no clear error, the Court accepts and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, (Dkt. 11), is **ADOPTED**.

IT IS ORDERED that Chauncy Williams's Amended Complaint is DISMISSED
WITHOUT PREJUDICE to refiling in state court.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that this case is CLOSED

SIGNED on August 11, 2023.

ROBERT PITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE